UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,020	09/05/2003	Paul Joseph McArdle	G&C 30566.255-US-U1	8147
55895 7590 03/09/2011 GATES & COOPER LLP HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 1050			EXAMINER	
			MORRISON, JAY A	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045		L 1030	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2168	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/09/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/656,020	MCARDLE ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JAY A. MORRISON	2168			
All Participants:	Status of Application: Not	Status of Application: Notice of Allowance issued			
(1) JAY A. MORRISON.	(3)				
(2) Jason S. Feldmar (Reg No. 39,187).	(4)				
Date of Interview: 8 March 2011	Time: <u>2pm EST</u>				
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: None					
Claims discussed: 5,35-39					
Prior art documents discussed: None					
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
/Jay A Morrison/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2168	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: This application was allowed on 1/25/2011, but it was subsequently discovered that in the RCE filed 1/18/2011, original claims 36-39 each depend from cancelled original claim 5, and they should each depend from original claim 35. The Applicant agreed that this was a typing mistake and gave permission for the correction making original claims 36-39 each depend from original claim 35 (or renumbered claims 6-9 will each depend from renumbered claim 5), which will be made when the patent is issued. This resolves all issues regarding this Application and it should proceed to issue as a result, since the Notice of Allowability was issued.